# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

	X
--	---

IN RE YASMIN AND YAZ (DROSPIRENONE)
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

3:09-md-02100-DRH-PMF

**MDL No. 2100** 

-----

### This Document Relates to:

Judge David R. Herndon

Tiffany Ashton Grzegorzweski v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al. No. 3:10-cv-11468-DRH-PMF

Melissa Lynn Gillette v. Bayer Corporation, et al. No. 3:10-cv-12239-DRH-PMF

Terry Alvarado v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al. No. 3:10-cv-12475-DRH-PMF

Toyah Harris v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al. No. 3:10-cv-12975-DRH-PMF

Pamela Helme v. Bayer Corporation, et al. No. 3:10-cv-13052-DRH-PMF

Vitoria Melton, et al., v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al. No. 3:10-cv-13664-DRH-PMF<sup>1</sup>

Lynzee Laborde v. McKesson Corp., et al. No. 3:10-cv-20178-DRH-PMF

Beata Lis v. McKesson Corp., et al. No. 3:10-cv-20405-DRH-PMF

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  This order applies to plaintiff Victoria Melton only

Natalie Cristina Corazzi v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al. No. 3:11-cv-10258-DRH-PMF

Brittany Jackson Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.v. Bayer HealthCare No. 3:11-cv-11993-DRH-PMF

Andrea McCall, et al., v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al No.  $3:11-cv-12191-DRH-PMF^2$ 

Rachel Ramey v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al. No. 3:11-cv-12819-DRH-PMF

Tomeka Brown, et al., v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al No. 3:11-cv-13041-DRH-PMF<sup>3</sup>

Julie Dey v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al. No. 3:11-cv-13387-DRH-PMF

Karen Gioia v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al. No. 3:12-cv-10297-DRH-PMF

Joanne Houmpavlis v. Bayer Corporation, et al. No. 3:12-cv-11592-DRH-PMF

Hollie L. Thomas, et al., v. Bayer Pharma AG, et al. No. 3:12-cv-11622-DRH-PMF

Minnie King v. Bayer Corporation, et al. No. 3:12-cv-11632-DRH-PMF

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 2}$  This order applies to plaintiff Danielle Buchanan only.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This order applies to plaintiff Marlo Duffy only.

Heather Lowery v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al. No. 3:13-cv-10601-DRH-PMF

Peggy Allen v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al. No. 3:13-cv-10754-DRH-PMF

#### ORDER GRANTING DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE

## **HERNDON, Chief Judge:**

This matter is before the Court on the Bayer Defendants' motions for an order dismissing the above captioned plaintiffs' claims without prejudice for failure to file an appearance as required by this Court's Order and Local Rule 83.1(g)(2).

In each of the above captioned cases, the Court granted a motion to withdraw filed by counsel. The orders granting leave to withdraw expressly provided that if the subject plaintiff (or her new counsel) failed to file a timely supplementary entry of appearance, the action would be subject to dismissal without prejudice under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) for failure to prosecute or to comply with the orders of this Court including failure to comply with the Plaintiff Fact Sheet requirements. To date, and in violation of this Court's orders and Local Rule 83.1(g), the above captioned plaintiffs have not filed a supplementary appearance. In addition, none of the above captioned plaintiffs have responded to the instant motion to dismiss.

The plaintiffs must comply with the Local Rules and this Court's orders. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). Accordingly, for the reasons stated herein, the claims of the above captioned plaintiffs are hereby dismissed without prejudice.

Date: April 23, 2014

## **SO ORDERED:**

Digitally signed by David R. Herndon

Date: 2014.04.23 16:36:40 -05'00'

Chief Judge United States District Court